



---

## 2004 VOTER INFORMATION GUIDE

---

# REPORT OF THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

---

1501 West Washington  
Suite 227  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3231

E-mail: [jpr@supreme.sp.state.az.us](mailto:jpr@supreme.sp.state.az.us)  
Internet: <http://www.supreme.state.az.us/jpr>  
Telephone: (602) 364-0098

*This publication can be provided in an alternative format upon request.*





**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**IMPORTANT**

- A **JUDGE CHECKLIST** has been provided on the back inside cover of this pamphlet.
- Mark your “YES” or “NO” vote for each judge on the **JUDGE CHECKLIST**, remove the **JUDGE CHECKLIST** from the pamphlet, and take the **JUDGE CHECKLIST** with you to your voting location on November 2, 2004.
- The **JUDGE CHECKLIST** will assist you when voting.

|                                 |                          |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Report of the Commission</b> | <b><u>Page</u></b><br>63 |
| <b>Commission Members</b>       | 65                       |

**JUDGE/JUSTICE REPORTS**

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b><u>Arizona Supreme Court Justices</u></b>      |    |
| Berch, Rebecca White                              | 66 |
| Jones, Charles E.                                 | 66 |
| Ryan, Michael D.                                  | 66 |
| <b><u>Court of Appeals Division I Judges</u></b>  |    |
| Barker, Daniel A.                                 | 67 |
| Ehrlich, Susan A.                                 | 67 |
| Gemmill, John C.                                  | 67 |
| Hall, Philip L.                                   | 68 |
| Irvine, Patrick                                   | 68 |
| Lankford, Jefferson L.                            | 68 |
| Snow, G. Murray                                   | 69 |
| Sult, James B.                                    | 69 |
| Thompson, Jon W.                                  | 70 |
| Winthrop, Lawrence F.                             | 69 |
| <b><u>Court of Appeals Division II Judges</u></b> |    |
| Florez, M. Jan                                    | 70 |
| Pelander, John                                    | 70 |
| <b><u>Superior Court in Pima County</u></b>       |    |
| <b><u>Civil Judges</u></b>                        |    |
| Bernini, Deborah                                  | 71 |
| Cornelio, Carmine                                 | 71 |
| Eikleberry, Jane L.                               | 71 |
| Fields, Richard S.                                | 72 |
| Miller, Leslie B.                                 | 72 |
| Sabalos, Charles S.                               | 72 |



**Criminal Judges**

|                    |    |
|--------------------|----|
| Kearney, Jan E.    | 73 |
| Kelly, Virginia C. | 73 |
| Lee, Kenneth       | 73 |
| Tang, Paul E.      | 74 |

**Family Judges**

|                 |    |
|-----------------|----|
| Acuna, Edgar B. | 74 |
| Warner, Nanette | 74 |

**Juvenile Judges**

|                        |    |
|------------------------|----|
| Hantman, Howard        | 75 |
| Miller, Michael O.     | 75 |
| Villarreal, Stephen C. | 75 |

**Special Assignment Judges**

|                   |    |
|-------------------|----|
| Escher, Patricia  | 76 |
| Leonardo, John S. | 76 |
| Munger, Clark W.  | 76 |

**Superior Court in Maricopa County**

**Civil Judges**

|                       |    |
|-----------------------|----|
| Albrecht, Rebecca A.  | 77 |
| Baca, Anna M.         | 77 |
| Dunevant, Thomas, III | 77 |
| Fields, Kenneth L.    | 78 |
| Galati, Frank T.      | 78 |
| Hilliard, Ruth H.     | 78 |
| Jarrett, Barbara M.   | 79 |
| Katz, Paul A.         | 79 |
| McNally, Colleen A.   | 79 |
| O'Melia, Michael J.   | 80 |

**Maricopa Criminal Judges**

|                            |    |
|----------------------------|----|
| Araneta, Louis A.          | 80 |
| Ballinger, Eddward P., Jr. | 80 |
| Buttrick, John A.          | 81 |
| Foreman, John              | 81 |
| McClennen, Crane           | 81 |
| Schneider, Barry C.        | 82 |
| Trujillo, Richard J.       | 82 |

**Maricopa Family Judges**

|                         |    |
|-------------------------|----|
| Akers, Linda A.         | 82 |
| Blakey, A. Craig, II    | 83 |
| Contes, Connie          | 83 |
| Ditsworth, John R.      | 83 |
| Harrison, Cari A.       | 84 |
| Mahoney, Margaret R.    | 84 |
| Oberbillig, Robert H.   | 84 |
| Sheldon, Steven D.      | 85 |
| Steinle, Roland J., III | 85 |
| Udall, David K.         | 85 |



**Maricopa Juvenile Judges**

|                           |    |
|---------------------------|----|
| Arellano, Silvia R.       | 86 |
| Cole, David R.            | 86 |
| Franks, Pamela J.         | 86 |
| Klein, Andrew G.          | 87 |
| McVey, Michael R.         | 87 |
| Miles, Linda H.           | 87 |
| Sanders, Teresa A.        | 88 |
| Sargeant, William P., III | 88 |
| Stephens, Sherry K.       | 88 |

**Maricopa Special Assignment Judges**

|                      |    |
|----------------------|----|
| Campbell, Colin F.   | 89 |
| Martin, Gregory H.   | 89 |
| Reinstein, Ronald S. | 89 |
| Santana, Mark R.     | 90 |

|  |       |
|--|-------|
| <b>Judge Checklist (Mark and Take to Polling Location)</b> | 92-94 |
|--|-------|

**REPORT OF THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW****Merit Selection of Judges**

In 1974, the voters of Arizona decided that Superior Court judges in counties with populations over 250,000 (currently Maricopa and Pima) and all appellate judges on Arizona's Supreme Court and Court of Appeals should first be appointed by the Governor from a list of qualified candidates recommended by a Commission consisting primarily of public members. Thereafter, during periodic elections, Arizona voters would decide whether to retain those judges. As a voter, you determine if the judges should remain in office.

One intent of merit selection is to remove politics from the judicial selection process. Another is to avoid the appearance or possibility of compromising judicial impartiality and integrity if judges are forced to solicit campaign contributions from, among others, attorneys who may practice before them, or people who may someday appear before them in court.

**High Standards are Set for Arizona's Judiciary**

*Arizona judges are expected to meet high standards of performance:*

- A judge should administer justice fairly, ethically, uniformly, promptly and efficiently.
- Judges should be free from personal bias when making decisions and decide cases based on the proper application of law.
- Judges should issue prompt rulings that can be understood and make decisions that demonstrate competent legal analysis.
- Judges should act with dignity, courtesy and patience. They should effectively manage their courtroom and the administrative responsibilities of their office.



## Arizona's Commission on Judicial Performance Review

Established in 1992 by an amendment to the Arizona Constitution, the majority of the 34 member Commission is drawn from the public-at-large and the other members are attorneys, judges and legislators. The Commission establishes performance standards for judges, decides whether or not a judge meets those standards, and communicates its findings to you, the voters.

The Commission collects information on judges' performances by distributing written surveys and conducting public hearings for persons who have first-hand knowledge of the job performance of judges appearing on the 2004 general election ballot. The Commission also accepts written comments regarding the performance of judges.

The responses to the surveys are compiled by an independent data center and the results forwarded to the Commission. Its members review all the information on each judge and vote whether the judge MET, or DID NOT MEET, judicial performance standards. When the Commission votes, the judges' names are encoded so that members do not know which judge they were voting on until all the votes are counted.

### Evaluating Judges' Job Performances

The Commission on Judicial Performance Review has the duty to review judges' performances and to provide meaningful and accurate information to the public for its use in making informed decisions regarding retention of merit-selected judges.

Every two years, the job performance of Superior Court judges in Maricopa and Pima Counties is evaluated. The following pages contain evaluations of the job performance of judges who are subject to retention by voters in this election. These evaluations were based on survey results gathered from court staff, jurors, litigants, witnesses, persons representing themselves and attorneys. The score is the total of the evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the Superior Court judge's bench assignment, the judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). Verbal testimony given at public hearings, as well as signed, written public comments, are considered by the Commission when voting whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET," judicial performance standards.

The job performances of justices of the Arizona Supreme Court and Court of Appeals judges are evaluated using similar methods on a continuous basis. Surveys are distributed to lawyers and other judges who appear before them. Because appellate courts do not hold trials, there are no litigant, witness or juror responses to consider.

The collection of reliable data is key to the success of this evaluation process and the Commission has confidence in the accuracy of the data it has received. The distribution of survey instruments to certain respondent groups, however, was accomplished in a cost-effective process which may not have been, in all respects, in accordance with scientific procedures.

Commission members reviewed, considered, and weighed carefully, the evaluation data from the survey process, public hearings, and written public comments before deciding whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET," judicial performance standards.

**ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW**  
**PUBLIC MEMBERS**

Margaret C. Kenski, Chair, Tucson  
Espinola O. Brunson, Phoenix  
Richard Cosgrove, Tucson  
Barbara S. Glenn, Tempe  
David L. Hetrick, Tucson  
Margy A. McGonagill, Tucson  
Raymond L. Sachs, Paradise Valley  
Dolores L. Sirkis, Tempe  
Henry W. Varga, Kingman

Thomas G. Bowen, Tucson  
Robert C. Clements, Tucson  
Wil R. Counts, Phoenix  
Winifred Hershberger, Tucson  
William R. Martin, III, Phoenix  
Karen E. Osborne, Phoenix  
Claire E. Scheuren, Tucson  
Charles P. Thompson, Phoenix  
Ronald R. Watson, Tucson

**ATTORNEY MEMBERS**

Roberta L. Voss, Vice Chair, Phoenix  
Eugene N. Goldsmith, Tucson  
Mary Beth Phillips, Phoenix

Jeanette M. Boulet, Tucson  
Marc R. Lieberman, Phoenix  
Carl A. Piccarreta, Tucson

**JUDGE MEMBERS**

Daniel A. Barker  
Arizona Court of Appeals Division I  
Pendleton Gaines  
Maricopa County Superior Court  
Ted B. Borek  
Pima County Superior Court

John Pelander  
Arizona Court of Appeals Division II  
Maria Verdin  
Maricopa County Superior Court  
Charles S. Sabalos  
Pima County Superior Court

**LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS**

Senator Bill Brotherton  
Senator Jim Weiers

Representative Ben Miranda  
Representative Steve Tully

---

---

ARIZONA SUPREME COURT JUSTICES

**ALL ARIZONA VOTERS**

**BERCH, REBECCA WHITE**

Appointed to the Arizona Supreme Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Justice Berch**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u>            |
|---|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 608<br>Surveys Returned: 350 | Surveys Distributed: 123<br>Surveys Returned: 99 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      |
| Legal Ability   | 91%   | 98%  |
| Integrity   | 95%   | 98%  |
| Communication Skills  | 97%   | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%   | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 96%   | 97%  |

**JONES, CHARLES E.**

**Chief Justice**

Appointed to the Arizona Supreme Court: 1996

**100% of the Commission Voted Justice Jones**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u>           |
|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 495<br>Surveys Returned: 269 | Surveys Distributed: 52<br>Surveys Returned: 22 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 97%   | 97%   |
| Integrity   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 98%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 99%   | 100%  |

**RYAN, MICHAEL D.**

Appointed to the Arizona Supreme Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Justice Ryan**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u>             |
|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 614<br>Surveys Returned: 370 | Surveys Distributed: 126<br>Surveys Returned: 108 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       |
| Legal Ability   | 89%   | 95%   |
| Integrity   | 97%   | 99%   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 97%   | 99%   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES

MARICOPA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY

**BARKER, DANIEL A.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Barker  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

**NOTE: Judge Barker is a member of the JPR Commission and could not vote on his own retention.**

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 665<br>Surveys Returned: 222 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 227<br>Surveys Returned: 55 |
|---|--|---|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>   |
| Legal Ability   | 95%  | 100%  |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 94%  | 100%  |

**EHRlich, SUSAN A.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 1989

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Ehrlich  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 707<br>Surveys Returned: 364 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 233<br>Surveys Returned: 118 |
|---|--|--|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  |
| Legal Ability   | 79%  | 86%  |
| Integrity   | 93%  | 92%  |
| Communication Skills  | 92%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 92%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 95%  | 85%  |

**GEMMILL, JOHN C.**

**Vice Chief Judge**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Gemmill  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 690<br>Surveys Returned: 212 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 225<br>Surveys Returned: 67 |
|---|--|---|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>   |
| Legal Ability   | 89%  | 95%   |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 82%  | 95%   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**HALL, PHILIP L.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Hall  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 742<br>Surveys Returned: 215 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 234<br>Surveys Returned: 71 |
|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>  | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>   |
| Legal Ability   | 86%  | 96%   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 89%  | 98%   |

**IRVINE, PATRICK**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Irvine  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 387<br>Surveys Returned: 118 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 120<br>Surveys Returned: 28 |
|---|--|---|
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>  | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>   |
| Legal Ability   | 92%  | 96%   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 98%  | 93%   |

**LANKFORD, JEFFERSON L.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 1990

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Lankford  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 729<br>Surveys Returned: 394 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 250<br>Surveys Returned: 141 |
|---|--|--|
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>  | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>  |
| Legal Ability   | 87%  | 92%  |
| Integrity   | 95%  | 98%  |
| Communication Skills  | 96%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 91%  | 95%  |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

**SNOW, G. MURRAY**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Snow  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 408<br>Surveys Returned: 114 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 106<br>Surveys Returned: 22 |
|---|--|---|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>   |
| Legal Ability   | 96%  | 95%   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 98%  | 93%   |

**WINTHROP, LAWRENCE F.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Winthrop  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 315<br>Surveys Returned: 83 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 82<br>Surveys Returned: 21 |
|---|---|--|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  |
| Legal Ability   | 89%   | 100%   |
| Integrity   | 99%   | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 99%   | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%   | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 100%  | 100%   |

**APACHE/COCONINO/LA PAZ/MOHAVE/NAVAJO/YAVAPAI/YUMA VOTERS ONLY**

**SULT, JAMES B.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 1995

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Sult  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 724<br>Surveys Returned: 369 | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br>Surveys Distributed: 264<br>Surveys Returned: 125 |
|---|--|--|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  |
| Legal Ability   | 86%  | 97%  |
| Integrity   | 95%  | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 96%  | 100%   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**THOMPSON, JON W.**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I: 1995

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Thompson  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br><i>Surveys Distributed: 686<br/>Surveys Returned: 335</i> | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br><i>Surveys Distributed: 240<br/>Surveys Returned: 113</i> |
|---|--|--|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  |
| Legal Ability   | 81%  | 97%  |
| Integrity   | 95%  | 98%  |
| Communication Skills  | 93%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 95%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 90%  | 97%  |

**PIMA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY**

**PELANDER, JOHN**

**Chief Judge**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division II: 1995

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Pelander  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

***NOTE: Judge Pelander is a member of the JPR Commission and could not vote on his own retention.***

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br><i>Surveys Distributed: 509<br/>Surveys Returned: 326</i> | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br><i>Surveys Distributed: 219<br/>Surveys Returned: 138</i> |
|---|--|--|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  |
| Legal Ability   | 90%  | 94%  |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 99%  |
| Communication Skills  | 96%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 95%  | 98%  |

**COCHISE/GILA/GRAHAM/GREENLEE/PINAL/SANTA CRUZ COUNTY VOTERS ONLY**

**FLOREZ, M. JAN**

Appointed to Court of Appeals Division II: 1996

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Florez  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards<br/>Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u><br><i>Surveys Distributed: 450<br/>Surveys Returned: 274</i> | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u><br><i>Surveys Distributed: 188<br/>Surveys Returned: 114</i> |
|---|--|--|
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>  |
| Legal Ability   | 80%  | 96%  |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 92%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 94%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                      | 84%  | 92%  |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



*SUPERIOR COURT FOR PIMA COUNTY*

**CIVIL JUDGES**

**BERNINI, DEBORAH**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1997

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Bernini  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 217<br>Surveys Returned: 94 | Surveys Distributed: 67<br>Surveys Returned: 16 | Surveys Distributed: 33<br>Surveys Returned: 15 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 94%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 97%   | 99%   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%  | 95%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 96%  | N/A   | N/A   |

**CORNELIO, CARMINE**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Cornelio  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 221<br>Surveys Returned: 123 | Surveys Distributed: 57<br>Surveys Returned: 7 | Surveys Distributed: 21<br>Surveys Returned: 12 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 95%   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%   | 95%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 95%   | 83%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 89%   | 85%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%   | 84%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 90%   | N/A  | N/A   |

**EIKLEBERRY, JANE L.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Eikleberry  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 134<br>Surveys Returned: 54 | Surveys Distributed: 57<br>Surveys Returned: 13 | Surveys Distributed: 9<br>Surveys Returned: 9 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 94%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 92%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 95%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 93%  | 92%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 94%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 99%  | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**FIELDS, RICHARD S.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1997

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Fields  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 219<br>Surveys Returned: 91 | Surveys Distributed: 58<br>Surveys Returned: 22 | Surveys Distributed: 17<br>Surveys Returned: 14 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 98%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 98%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%  | N/A   | N/A   |

**MILLER, LESLIE B.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1985

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Miller  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 194<br>Surveys Returned: 90 | Surveys Distributed: 36<br>Surveys Returned: 7 | Surveys Distributed: 16<br>Surveys Returned: 2 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 85%  | N/A  | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 97%  | 95%  | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 86%  | 86%  | 100%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 89%  | 93%  | 100%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 94%  | 100%   | 100%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 85%  | N/A  | N/A  |

**SABALOS, CHARLES S.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil Presiding Judge  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1993

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Sabalos  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

**NOTE: Judge Sabalos is a member of the JPR Commission and could not vote on his own retention.**

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 285<br>Surveys Returned: 126 | Surveys Distributed: 41<br>Surveys Returned: 19 | Surveys Distributed: 42<br>Surveys Returned: 18 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 100%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 98%   | 98%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 98%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%   | 94%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%   | 98%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 95%   | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

**CRIMINAL JUDGES**

**KEARNEY, JAN E.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Kearney  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

**NOTE: Judge Kearney is related to a member of the JPR Commission who could not vote on her retention.**

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 177<br>Surveys Returned: 31 | Surveys Distributed: 55<br>Surveys Returned: 6 | Surveys Distributed: 72<br>Surveys Returned: 39 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 86%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 92%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 83%  | 100%   | 98%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 92%  | 100%   | 99%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 95%  | 100%   | 99%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 92%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**KELLY, VIRGINIA C.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Kelly  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 154<br>Surveys Returned: 50 | Surveys Distributed: 44<br>Surveys Returned: 18 | Surveys Distributed: 49<br>Surveys Returned: 9 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 88%  | N/A   | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 88%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 94%  | 99%   | 100%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 95%  | 98%   | 100%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 79%  | N/A   | N/A  |

**LEE, KENNETH**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1997

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Lee MEETS  
Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 147<br>Surveys Returned: 37 | Surveys Distributed: 54<br>Surveys Returned: 4 | Surveys Distributed: 72<br>Surveys Returned: 28 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 83%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 91%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 88%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 88%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 73%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**TANG, PAUL E.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 2001

**75% of the Commission Voted Judge Tang  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

21 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

7 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                           |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 143<br>Surveys Returned: 41 | Surveys Distributed: 65<br>Surveys Returned: 20 | Surveys Distributed: 107<br>Surveys Returned: 58 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      |
| Legal Ability   | 76%  | N/A   | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 91%  | 100%  | 98%  |
| Communication Skills  | 83%  | 100%  | 98%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 79%  | 100%  | 98%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 85%  | 100%  | 98%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 83%  | N/A   | N/A  |

**FAMILY JUDGES**

**ACUNA, EDGAR B.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1997

**74% of the Commission Voted Judge Acuna  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

20 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

7 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 151<br>Surveys Returned: 45 | Surveys Distributed: 139<br>Surveys Returned: 25 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 90%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 91%  | 96%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 80%  | 88%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 78%  | 86%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 92%  | 90%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 93%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**WARNER, NANETTE**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family Presiding Judge  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1985

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Warner  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                       | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 74<br>Surveys Returned: 36 | Surveys Distributed: 168<br>Surveys Returned: 36 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 91%   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 94%   | 93%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 94%   | 91%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 85%   | 90%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%   | 93%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 96%   | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**JUVENILE JUDGES**

**HANTMAN, HOWARD**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1994

**82% of the Commission Voted Judge Hantman**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

23 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

5 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 111<br>Surveys Returned: 33 | Surveys Distributed: 132<br>Surveys Returned: 42 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 96%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 94%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 83%  | 95%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%  | 97%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A  | N/A   |

**MILLER, MICHAEL O.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Miller**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                       | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 98<br>Surveys Returned: 24 | Surveys Distributed: 86<br>Surveys Returned: 25 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 93%   | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%   | 99%   | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 95%   | 96%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%  | 98%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%   | 98%   | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 88%   | N/A   | N/A   |

**VILLARREAL, STEPHEN C.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1998

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Villarreal**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 101<br>Surveys Returned: 33 | Surveys Distributed: 161<br>Surveys Returned: 70 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 94%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 98%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 90%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT JUDGES**

**ESCHER, PATRICIA**

Assignment During Survey Period: Associate Presiding Judge/Drug Court Presiding Judge/Criminal  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1997

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Escher**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 136<br>Surveys Returned: 33 | Surveys Distributed: 28<br>Surveys Returned: 15 | Surveys Distributed: 27<br>Surveys Returned: 15 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 93%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 98%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 89%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 96%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A   | N/A   |

**LEONARDO, JOHN S.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Presiding Judge  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1993

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Leonardo**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                      | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>      | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 10<br>Surveys Returned: 4 | Surveys Distributed: 3<br>Surveys Returned: 1 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 100%   | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 100%   | 100%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 100%   | 100%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%   | 100%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%   | 100%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A   | N/A   |

**MUNGER, CLARK W.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Probate Presiding Judge  
Appointed to Pima County Superior Court: 1997

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Munger**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 204<br>Surveys Returned: 95 | Surveys Distributed: 74<br>Surveys Returned: 8 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 95%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 87%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 93%  | 75%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 89%  | 74%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 79%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 86%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

SUPERIOR COURT FOR MARICOPA COUNTY

MARICOPA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY  
CIVIL JUDGES

**ALBRECHT, REBECCA A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1985

***100% of the Commission Voted Judge Albrecht  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards***

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 293<br>Surveys Returned: 127 | Surveys Distributed: 50<br>Surveys Returned: 10 | Surveys Distributed: 33<br>Surveys Returned: 14 |
|   | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>                | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>              | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>              |
| Legal Ability   | 97%   | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 96%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 94%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%   | 96%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 89%   | N/A   | N/A   |

**BACA, ANNA M.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1984

***100% of the Commission Voted Judge Baca  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standard***

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 280<br>Surveys Returned: 95 | Surveys Distributed: 62<br>Surveys Returned: 18 | Surveys Distributed: 55<br>Surveys Returned: 25 |
|   | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>               | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>              | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>              |
| Legal Ability   | 94%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 97%  | 99%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 94%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 95%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%  | 96%   | 99%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 92%  | N/A   | N/A   |

**DUNEVANT, THOMAS, III**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1989

***100% of the Commission Voted Judge Dunevant  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards***

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 233<br>Surveys Returned: 84 | Surveys Distributed: 97<br>Surveys Returned: 12 | Surveys Distributed: 57<br>Surveys Returned: 15 |
|   | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>               | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>              | <b><u>Score (See Footnote)</u></b>              |
| Legal Ability   | 93%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 97%  | 99%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 90%  | 91%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%  | 88%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%  | 93%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 83%  | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**FIELDS, KENNETH L.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1989

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Fields**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 186<br>Surveys Returned: 80 | Surveys Distributed: 22<br>Surveys Returned: 5 | Surveys Distributed: 10<br>Surveys Returned: 2 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                    | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 91%  | N/A  | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 97%  | 100%   | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 92%  | 100%   | 100%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 90%  | 100%   | 100%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 95%  | 100%   | 100%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 78%  | N/A  | N/A  |

**GALATI, FRANK T.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1985

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Galati**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 344<br>Surveys Returned: 131 | Surveys Distributed: 47<br>Surveys Returned: 10 | Surveys Distributed: 47<br>Surveys Returned: 23 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                       | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 97%   | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 98%   | 100%  | 99%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%   | 100%  | 99%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%   | 100%  | 97%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 96%   | N/A   | N/A   |

**HILLIARD, RUTH H.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1985

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Hilliard**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|---|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 330<br>Surveys Returned: 133 | Surveys Distributed: 78<br>Surveys Returned: 16 | Surveys Distributed: 53<br>Surveys Returned: 17 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                       | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 98%   | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 98%   | 99%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 98%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 91%   | 97%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%   | 100%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 92%   | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**JARRETT, BARBARA M.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1992

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Jarrett**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 139<br>Surveys Returned: 51 | Surveys Distributed: 110<br>Surveys Returned: 20 | Surveys Distributed: 49<br>Surveys Returned: 23 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 95%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 99%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 99%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%   | 98%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 97%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**KATZ, PAUL A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Tax Presiding Judge/  
Civil; Appointed to Maricopa County  
Superior Court: 1989

**96% of the Commission Voted Judge Katz**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

1 Commissioner Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 217<br>Surveys Returned: 78 | Surveys Distributed: 44<br>Surveys Returned: 8 | Surveys Distributed: 41<br>Surveys Returned: 31 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 97%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 89%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%   | 100%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 95%  | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**McNALLY, COLLEEN A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil/Probate/Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge McNally**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                       | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 86<br>Surveys Returned: 38 | Surveys Distributed: 473<br>Surveys Returned: 61 | Surveys Distributed: 36<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 95%   | N/A  | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 98%   | 84%  | No Responses                                   |
| Communication Skills  | 94%   | 82%  | No Responses                                   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%   | 82%  | No Responses                                   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%   | 83%  | No Responses                                   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%   | N/A  | N/A  |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**O'MELIA, MICHAEL J.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Civil  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1984

**96% of the Commission Voted Judge O'Melia  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

1 Commissioner Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 252<br>Surveys Returned: 107 | Surveys Distributed: 76<br>Surveys Returned: 17 | Surveys Distributed: 16<br>Surveys Returned: 7 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 95%   | N/A   | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 99%   | 98%   | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 93%   | 94%   | 100%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%   | 97%   | 100%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 96%   | 93%   | 100%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 94%   | N/A   | N/A  |

**CRIMINAL JUDGES**

**ARANETA, LOUIS A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1993

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Araneta  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 157<br>Surveys Returned: 41 | Surveys Distributed: 23<br>Surveys Returned: 1 | Surveys Distributed: 50<br>Surveys Returned: 20 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 90%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 89%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 90%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%  | 75%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 95%  | 67%  | 98%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 96%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**BALLINGER, EDDWARD P., JR.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal Presiding Judge; Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1998

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Ballinger  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>      | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 110<br>Surveys Returned: 34 | Surveys Distributed: 2<br>Surveys Returned: 2 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 94%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 100%   | 100%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 92%  | 100%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%  | 100%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 96%  | 100%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**BUTTRICK, JOHN A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Buttrick**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 147<br>Surveys Returned: 34 | Surveys Distributed: 41<br>Surveys Returned: 6 | Surveys Distributed: 97<br>Surveys Returned: 26 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                    | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 100%   | 100%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 100%   | 100%   | 99%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 100%   | 94%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A  | N/A   |

**FOREMAN, JOHN**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal/Special  
Assignment; Appointed to Maricopa County  
Superior Court: 1985

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Foreman**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 124<br>Surveys Returned: 29 | Surveys Distributed: 29<br>Surveys Returned: 11 | Surveys Distributed: 24<br>Surveys Returned: 5 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 92%  | N/A   | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 87%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 92%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 91%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 92%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 87%  | N/A   | N/A  |

**McCLENNEN, CRANE**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1997

**63% of the Commission Voted Judge McClellen**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

17 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

10 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 161<br>Surveys Returned: 51 | Surveys Distributed: 60<br>Surveys Returned: 21 | Surveys Distributed: 78<br>Surveys Returned: 27 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 95%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 91%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 86%  | 95%   | 99%   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 71%  | 99%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 68%  | 88%   | 93%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 91%  | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**SCHNEIDER, BARRY C.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Schneider  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 169<br>Surveys Returned: 53 | Surveys Distributed: 124<br>Surveys Returned: 17 | Surveys Distributed: 52<br>Surveys Returned: 27 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 97%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 94%  | 99%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 91%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 80%  | 93%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 96%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**TRUJILLO, RICHARD J.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Criminal  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**59% of the Commission Voted Judge Trujillo**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

16 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

11 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 119<br>Surveys Returned: 34 | Surveys Distributed: 63<br>Surveys Returned: 17 | Surveys Distributed: 26<br>Surveys Returned: 6 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 66%  | N/A   | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 90%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Communication Skills  | 71%  | 100%  | 97%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 88%  | 100%  | 100%   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 72%  | 96%   | 94%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 75%  | N/A   | N/A  |

**FAMILY JUDGES**

**AKERS, LINDA A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1996

**96% of the Commission Voted Judge Akers**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

1 Commissioner Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 205<br>Surveys Returned: 73 | Surveys Distributed: 341<br>Surveys Returned: 37 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 87%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 95%  | 82%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 85%  | 72%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 87%  | 76%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 95%  | 89%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 92%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

**BLAKEY, A. CRAIG, II**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Blakey  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 134<br>Surveys Returned: 51 | Surveys Distributed: 190<br>Surveys Returned: 24 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Integrity   | 95%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 96%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 92%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 91%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%  | 100%   | N/A   |
|   | 87%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**CONTES, CONNIE**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2002

**86% of the Commission Voted Judge Contes  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

24 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

4 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 199<br>Surveys Returned: 72 | Surveys Distributed: 201<br>Surveys Returned: 27 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 100%   | 78%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 81%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%  | 69%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 96%  | 77%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**DITSWORTH, JOHN R.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Ditsworth  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                       | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 42<br>Surveys Returned: 16 | Surveys Distributed: 118<br>Surveys Returned: 24 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 97%   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%   | 99%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%   | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 94%   | 100%   | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**HARRISON, CARIA**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Harrison  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 136<br>Surveys Returned: 52 | Surveys Distributed: 254<br>Surveys Returned: 57 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 96%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 95%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 96%  | 91%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | 93%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 92%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A  | N/A   |

**MAHONEY, MARGARET R.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2002

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Mahoney  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 143<br>Surveys Returned: 54 | Surveys Distributed: 301<br>Surveys Returned: 48 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 92%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 96%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 96%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%  | 94%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 91%  | 96%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 93%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**OBERBILLIG, ROBERT H.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1998

**96% of the Commission Voted Judge Oberbillig  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

1 Commissioner Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 149<br>Surveys Returned: 59 | Surveys Distributed: 436<br>Surveys Returned: 75 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 92%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 93%  | 84%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 91%  | 81%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 87%  | 78%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 85%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 88%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

**SHELDON, STEVEN D.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1990

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Sheldon  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 173<br>Surveys Returned: 71 | Surveys Distributed: 336<br>Surveys Returned: 36 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 96%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 97%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 91%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 96%  | 95%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 93%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**STEINLE, ROLAND J., III**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**96% of the Commission Voted Judge Steinle  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

27 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

1 Commissioner Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 162<br>Surveys Returned: 68 | Surveys Distributed: 436<br>Surveys Returned: 37 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 91%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 89%  | 81%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 90%  | 81%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 66%  | 66%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 94%  | 89%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 77%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**UDALL, DAVID K.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Family  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Udall  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 125<br>Surveys Returned: 53 | Surveys Distributed: 457<br>Surveys Returned: 56 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 97%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 96%  | 90%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 93%  | 89%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%  | 87%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 95%  | 90%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**JUVENILE JUDGES**

**ARELLANO, SILVIA R.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1990

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Arellano  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 132<br>Surveys Returned: 40 | Surveys Distributed: 83<br>Surveys Returned: 16 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 99%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 99%   | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 94%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 91%  | 95%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%  | 100%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 99%  | N/A   | N/A   |

**COLE, DAVID R.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1989

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Cole  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 143<br>Surveys Returned: 45 | Surveys Distributed: 56<br>Surveys Returned: 12 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                     | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 97%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 99%   | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 100%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 93%  | 100%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 87%  | 94%   | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 89%  | N/A   | N/A   |

**FRANKS, PAMELA J.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1989

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Franks  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  
0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 159<br>Surveys Returned: 53 | Surveys Distributed: 596<br>Surveys Returned: 89 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 95%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 96%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 82%  | 98%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%  | 97%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**KLEIN, ANDREW G.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

| <b>100% of the Commission Voted Judge Klein<br/>MEETS Judicial Performance Standards</b> |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| 28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"   |  |  |   |
| 0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"   |  |  |   |
| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u>                              | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|  | Surveys Distributed: 122<br>Surveys Returned: 38 | Surveys Distributed: 252<br>Surveys Returned: 50 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability  | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity  | 98%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills   | 100%   | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament   | 99%  | 97%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance   | 100%   | 99%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities  | 92%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**McVEY, MICHAEL R.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1993

| <b>100% of the Commission Voted Judge McVey<br/>MEETS Judicial Performance Standards</b> |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| 28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"   |  |  |   |
| 0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"   |  |  |   |
| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u>                              | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|  | Surveys Distributed: 150<br>Surveys Returned: 39 | Surveys Distributed: 144<br>Surveys Returned: 31 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|  | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability  | 94%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity  | 96%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Communication Skills   | 97%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament   | 90%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance   | 97%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities  | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**MILES, LINDA H.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

| <b>93% of the Commission Voted Judge Miles<br/>MEETS Judicial Performance Standards</b> |  |  |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| 25 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  |  |  |   |
| 2 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"  |  |  |   |
| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u>                             | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|   | Surveys Distributed: 138<br>Surveys Returned: 45 | Surveys Distributed: 225<br>Surveys Returned: 41 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                      | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 78%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 95%  | 93%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 92%  | 93%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 82%  | 94%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance  | 73%  | 89%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities   | 85%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



Judicial Performance Review

**SANDERS, TERESA A.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Sanders  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 161<br>Surveys Returned: 47 | Surveys Distributed: 106<br>Surveys Returned: 24 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 98%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 100%   | N/A  | N/A   |

**SARGEANT, WILLIAM P., III**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1986

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Sargeant  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 139<br>Surveys Returned: 38 | Surveys Distributed: 112<br>Surveys Returned: 27 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 96%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 98%  | 92%  | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 97%  | 91%  | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%   | 94%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 99%  | N/A  | N/A   |

**STEPHENS, SHERRY K.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Juvenile  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Stephens  
MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>         | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 119<br>Surveys Returned: 32 | Surveys Distributed: 348<br>Surveys Returned: 61 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 100%   | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 99%  | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 100%   | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%   | 97%  | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 98%  | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT JUDGES**

**CAMPELL, COLIN F.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Presiding Judge  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1990

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Campbell**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                     | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                        |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 2<br>Surveys Returned: 1 | Surveys Distributed: 16<br>Surveys Returned: 3 | Surveys Distributed: 0<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                   |
| Legal Ability   | 100%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 100%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Communication Skills  | 100%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Judicial Temperament  | 100%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%  | 100%   | N/A   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | N/A   | N/A  | N/A   |

**MARTIN, GREGORY H.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Special Assignment  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1989

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Martin**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                        | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>        | <u>Juror Responses</u>                         |
|---|--|---|--|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 192<br>Surveys Returned: 60 | Surveys Distributed: 23<br>Surveys Returned: 16 | Surveys Distributed: 28<br>Surveys Returned: 0 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                      | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    |
| Legal Ability   | 98%  | N/A   | N/A  |
| Integrity   | 98%  | 100%  | N/A  |
| Communication Skills  | 100%   | 100%  | N/A  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | 100%  | N/A  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 97%  | 98%   | N/A  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 96%  | N/A   | N/A  |

**REINSTEIN, RONALD S.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Special Assignment  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 1985

**100% of the Commission Voted Judge Reinstein**

**MEETS Judicial Performance Standards**

28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"

0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                         | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>       | <u>Juror Responses</u>                          |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Surveys Distributed: 272<br>Surveys Returned: 101 | Surveys Distributed: 24<br>Surveys Returned: 9 | Surveys Distributed: 18<br>Surveys Returned: 10 |
|   | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                       | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                    | <b>Score (See Footnote)</b>                     |
| Legal Ability   | 100%  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 100%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 100%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 99%   | 100%   | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 100%  | 100%   | 100%  |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 99%   | N/A  | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.



**SANTANA, MARK R.**

Assignment During Survey Period: Special Assignment  
Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court: 2001

|   |
|---|
| <b><i>100% of the Commission Voted Judge Santana<br/>MEETS Judicial Performance Standards</i></b> |
| 28 Commissioners Voted "Meets"  |
| 0 Commissioners Voted "Did Not Meet"  |

| <u>Judicial Performance Standards Evaluation Categories</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u>                                | <u>Litigant/Witness/ProPer Responses</u>                | <u>Juror Responses</u>                                  |
|---|--|---|---|
|   | <i>Surveys Distributed: 126<br/>Surveys Returned: 46</i> | <i>Surveys Distributed: 61<br/>Surveys Returned: 27</i> | <i>Surveys Distributed: 83<br/>Surveys Returned: 25</i> |
|   | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                              | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                             | <u>Score (See Footnote)</u>                             |
| Legal Ability   | 97%  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Integrity   | 99%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Communication Skills  | 97%  | 96%   | 100%  |
| Judicial Temperament  | 98%  | 100%  | 100%  |
| Administrative Performance                                  | 99%  | 98%   | 99%   |
| Settlement Activities                                       | 85%  | N/A   | N/A   |

FOOTNOTE: The score is the percentage of all evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory", "very good", or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories, indicated by N/A (for example, juvenile and family court judges do not conduct jury trials). The JPR Commission votes "Yes" or "No" on whether a judge "MEETS" Judicial Performance Standards. Further information on the judges and justices can be found at each court's Web site.

