



NOTICES OF PROPOSED EXEMPT RULEMAKING

This section of the Arizona Administrative Register contains Notices of Proposed Exempt Rulemaking. An agency may be exempt from rulemaking standards outlined in the Arizona Administrative Procedures Act (APA).

An agency's exemption is listed in the Preamble of the rulemaking as specified under: A.R.S. §§ 41-1005 or 41-1057; or a specific statute; or if a rule is promulgated by the Corporation Commission, it is exempt from Attorney General review under a court decision as determined by the Commission.

If an agency determines it is exempt under the law or court decision, the law may still require publication of the Proposed Exempt Rulemaking in this section to solicit and review public comments on the rulemaking.

Some agencies, even though completely exempt, may still elect to follow certain provisions of the APA, such as circulating its exempt rulemaking for comment. If an agency chooses this option, our office encourages filing the notice with our office for publication in the Register.

Please note, if a statute dictates that an agency is completely exempt from the rulemaking process, the agency is authorized to file a Notice of Exempt Rulemaking.

In all cases, an agency must still follow the procedures as established by our office in order to have its rulemaking package published.

The Office of the Secretary of State is the filing office and publisher of these rules. Questions about the interpretation of the proposed exempt rule should be directed to the agency proposing them. Refer to Item #5 of the Preamble to contact the person charged with the rulemaking.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED EXEMPT RULEMAKING

TITLE 2. ADMINISTRATION

CHAPTER 20. CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

[R15-113]

PREAMBLE

- 1. Article, Part or Sections Affected (as applicable) Rulemaking Action
2. Citations to the agency's statutory rulemaking authority to include the authorizing statute (general) and the implementing statute (specific) and the statute or session law authorizing the exemption:
3. The effective date of the rule and the agency's reason it selected the effective date:
4. A list of all notices published in the Register as specified in R9-1-409(A) that pertain to the record of the exempt rulemaking:
5. The agency's contact person who can answer questions about the rulemaking:
6. An agency's justification and reason why a rule should be made, amended, repealed, or renumbered to include an explanation about the rulemaking:



The Commission's rulemakings are exempt from Title 41, Ch. 6, Article 3, pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-956.

7. **A reference to any study relevant to the rule that the agency reviewed and either relied on or did not rely on in its evaluation of or justification for the rule, where the public may obtain or review each study, all data underlying each study, and any analysis of each study and other supporting material:**
Not applicable
8. **A showing of good cause why the rule is necessary to promote a statewide interest if the rulemaking will diminish a previous grant of authority of a political subdivision of this state:**
Not applicable
9. **The summary of the economic, small business, and consumer impact, if applicable:**
Not applicable
10. **A description of any changes between the proposed rulemaking, including any supplemental proposed rulemaking, and final rulemaking package, (if applicable):**
The proposed rule amendment clarifies the process in which the Commission will find reasonable cause in a matter that has been declined by the Secretary of State's Office. The proposed amendment was developed by the Commission during a review of its rules and was proposed in an open meeting on August 20, 2015. There were no Notices of Supplemental Proposed Rulemakings related to this Section, and no other substantive changes are being made.
11. **An agency's summary of the public or stakeholder comments made about the rulemaking and the agency response to the comments, if applicable:**
The Commission solicits public comment throughout the rulemaking process.
12. **Any other matters prescribed by statute that are applicable to the specific agency or to any specific rule or class of rules. When applicable, matters shall include, but not be limited to:**
 - a. **Whether the rule requires a permit, whether a general permit is used and if not, the reasons why a general permit is not used:**
Not applicable
 - b. **Whether a federal law is applicable to the subject of the rule, whether the rule is more stringent than the federal law and if so, citation to the statutory authority to exceed the requirements of the federal law:**
Not applicable
 - c. **Whether a person submitted an analysis to the agency that compares the rule's impact of the competitiveness of business in this state to the impact on business in other states:**
Not applicable
13. **A list of any incorporated by reference material and its location in the rules:**
Not applicable
14. **Whether this rule previously made, amended, repealed or renumbered as an emergency rule. If so, the agency shall state where the text changed between the emergency and the exempt rulemaking packages:**
The rule was not previously made, amended, repealed, or renumbered as an emergency rule.
15. **The full text of the rules follows:**

TITLE 2. ADMINISTRATION

CHAPTER 20. CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

ARTICLE 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES

Section
R2-20-208. Complaint processing; notification

ARTICLE 2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES

R2-20-208. Complaint processing; notification

- A. If the Commission, either after reviewing a complaint-generated recommendation as described in A.A.C. R2-20-206 and any response of a respondent submitted pursuant to A.A.C. R2-20-205, or after reviewing an internally generated recommendation as described in A.A.C. R2-20-207, determines by an affirmative vote of at least 3 of its members that it has reason to believe a respondent has violated a statute or rule over which the Commission has jurisdiction, the Commission shall notify such respondent of the Commission's finding, setting forth the sections of the statute or rule alleged to have been violated and the alleged factual basis supporting the finding. In accordance with A.R.S. § 16-957(A), the Commission shall serve on the respondent an order requiring compliance within 14 days. During that period, the respondent may provide any explanation to the Commission, comply with the order, or enter into a public administrative settlement with the Commission. If the complaint has been filed with the Arizona Secretary of State's Office and involves



facts that would constitute violations of law under both the Commission’s jurisdiction and the Secretary of State’s authority and that Office declines to determine reasonable cause to believe a violation has occurred then it shall require votes of the Commission’s members to find reason to believe a violation may have occurred.

- B. No change
- C. No change