



2002 VOTER INFORMATION GUIDE

REPORT OF THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

1501 West Washington
Suite 227
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3231

E-mail: jpr@supreme.sp.state.az.us
Internet: <http://www.supreme.state.az.us/jpr>

This publication can be provided in an alternative format upon request.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON
JPR
JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| Court of Appeals Division I Judges | 163 |
| Superior Court in Maricopa County Judges | 164 |
| Superior Court in Pima County Judges | 179 |
| Judge Checklist to Take to Voting Booth | 183 |

A JUDGE CHECKLIST HAS BEEN PROVIDED ON THE BACK COVER OF THIS PAMPHLET TO ASSIST YOU WHEN VOTING FOR THE JUDGES STANDING FOR RETENTION. MARK YOUR VOTE FOR EACH JUDGE ON THE CHECKLIST, REMOVE THE CHECKLIST FROM THE PAMPHLET, AND TAKE THE CHECKLIST WITH YOU TO YOUR VOTING LOCATION ON NOVEMBER 5, 2002.

**For More Information Call:
Judicial Performance Review Office
(602) 364-0098**

REPORT OF THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW

Merit Selection of Judges

In 1974, the voters of Arizona decided that Superior Court judges in counties with populations over 250,000 (currently Maricopa and Pima) and all appellate judges on Arizona's Supreme Court and Court of Appeals should first be appointed by the Governor from a list of qualified candidates recommended by a Commission consisting primarily of public members. Thereafter, during periodic elections, Arizona voters would decide whether to retain those judges. **AS A VOTER, YOU DETERMINE IF THE JUDGES SHOULD REMAIN IN OFFICE.**

One intent of merit selection is to remove politics from the judicial selection process. Another is to avoid the appearance or possibility of compromising judicial impartiality and integrity if judges are forced to solicit campaign contributions from, among others, attorneys who may practice before them, or people who may someday appear before them in court.

High Standards are Set for Arizona's Judiciary

Arizona judges are expected to meet high standards of performance.

- A judge should administer justice fairly, ethically, uniformly, promptly and efficiently.
- Judges should be free from personal bias when making decisions and decide cases based on the proper application of law.
- Judges should issue prompt rulings that can be understood and make decisions that demonstrate competent legal analysis.
- Judges should act with dignity, courtesy and patience. They should effectively manage their courtroom and the administrative responsibilities of their office.

Arizona's Commission on Judicial Performance Review

Established in 1992 by an amendment to the Arizona Constitution, the majority of the 30-member Commission is drawn from the public-at-large and the other members are attorneys and judges. The Commission establishes performance standards for judges, decides whether or not a judge meets those standards, and communicates its findings to you, the voters.

The Commission collects information on judges' performances by distributing written surveys and conducting public hearings for persons who have first-hand knowledge of the job performance of judges appearing on the 2002 general election ballot. The Commission also accepts written comments regarding the performance of judges.

The responses to the surveys are compiled by an independent data center and the results forwarded to the Commission. Its members review all the information on each judge and vote whether the judge MET, OR DID NOT MEET, judicial performance standards. When the Commission votes, the judges' names are encoded so that members do not know which judge they were voting on until all the votes are counted.

Evaluating Judges' Job Performances

The Commission on Judicial Performance Review has the duty to review judges' performances and to provide meaningful and accurate information to the public for its use in making informed decisions regarding retention of merit-selected judges.

Every two years, the job performance of Superior Court judges in Maricopa and Pima Counties is evaluated. The following pages contain evaluations of the job performance of judges who are subject to retention by voters in this election. These evaluations were based on survey results gathered from court staff, jurors, litigants, witnesses, persons representing themselves and attorneys. The score is the total of the evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on the Superior Court judge's bench assignment, the judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). Verbal testimony given at public hearings, as well as signed, written public comments, are considered by the Commission when voting whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET," judicial performance standards.

The job performances of justices of the Arizona Supreme Court and Court of Appeals judges are evaluated using similar methods on a continuous basis. Surveys are distributed to lawyers and other judges who appear before them. Because appellate courts do not hold trials, there are no litigant, witness or juror responses to consider.

The collection of reliable data is key to the success of this evaluation process and the Commission has confidence in the accuracy of the data it has received. The distribution of survey instruments to certain respondent groups, however, was accomplished in a cost-effective process which may not have been, in all respects, in accordance with scientific procedures.

Commission members reviewed, considered, and weighed carefully, the evaluation data from the survey process, public hearings, and written public comments before deciding whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET," judicial performance standards.

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW**PUBLIC MEMBERS**

David Armstead, Phoenix
Edward Beasley III, Glendale
Jessie Lou Blakeslee, Tempe
Espinola O. Brunson, Phoenix
Richard Cosgrove, Tucson
David A. Garber, Tucson
Lola L. Grabb, Tucson
Mary Guerra-Willekens, Phoenix
Winifred Hershberger, Tucson

Margaret C. Kenski, Vice Chair, Tucson
David L. Hetrick, Tucson
William R. Martin III, Phoenix
Karen E. Osborne, Phoenix
Claire E. Scheuren, Tucson
Dolores L. Sirkis, Tempe
Jacque Steiner, Phoenix
Charles P. Thompson, Phoenix

ATTORNEY MEMBERS

Jeanette M. Boulet, Tucson
Andrew M. Federhar, Tucson
Robert C. Houser, Phoenix

Fredrick M. Jones, Phoenix
Christopher M. Skelly, Chair, Phoenix
George H. Soltero, Tucson

JUDGE MEMBERS

Daniel A. Barker
Arizona Court of Appeals Division One

Deborah Bernini
Pima County Superior Court

Pendleton Gaines
Maricopa County Superior Court

Clark Munger
Pima County Superior Court

Cecil B. Patterson, Jr.
Arizona Court of Appeals Division One

John Pelander
Arizona Court of Appeals Division Two

COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE - MARICOPA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY:

NOYES, E. G., JR.

Profile: Law degree 1973, University of Wyoming. Served on Maricopa County Superior Court 1983-1992. Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I in 1992 and served as Chief Judge from 1999 to 2001.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Returned: 126 | 85% | Surveys Returned: 97 | 99% |
| Integrity | | 94% | | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | N/A |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | N/A |
| Administrative Performance | | 93% | | 100% |

TIMMER, ANN A. SCOTT

Profile: Law degree 1985 from Arizona State University. Private practice in commercial and employment litigation. Appointed to Court of Appeals Division I in 2000.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Returned: 114 | 89% | Surveys Returned: 72 | 99% |
| Integrity | | 96% | | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 99% | | N/A |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | N/A |
| Administrative Performance | | 96% | | 100% |

COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE - APACHE, COCONINO, LA PAZ, MOHAVE, NAVAJO, YAVAPAI AND YUMA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY:

WEISBERG, SHELDON H.

Profile: Law degree 1974 from Ohio State University. U.S. Army Command and General Staff College 1985. Commercial law practice until appointed to Court of Appeals Division I in 1992.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Superior Court Judge Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Returned: 144 | 89% | Surveys Returned: 72 | 94% |
| Integrity | | 97% | | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | N/A |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | N/A |
| Administrative Performance | | 94% | | 98% |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

SUPERIOR COURT IN MARICOPA COUNTY - MARICOPA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY:**ACETO, MARK F.**

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Family

Profile: Law degree 1980, Arizona State University. Specialized in medical malpractice and personal injury litigation. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1995.

MEETS

Voted "YES" - 25
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 4

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 214 | 93% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 309 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 88 | 93% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 66 | 90% |
| Communication Skills | | 92% | | N/A | | 89% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 94% | | N/A | | 86% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | N/A | | 91% |
| Settlement Activities | | 88% | | N/A | | N/A |

ANDERSON, ARTHUR T.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1981, University of Detroit. Specialized in civil litigation and product liability matters. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1999.

MEETS

Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 179 | 93% | Surveys Sent: 82 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 31 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 44 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 30 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 1 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | 98% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 92% | | 94% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 93% | | N/A | | N/A |

ARMSTRONG, MARK W.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period-- Associate Presiding Judge and Special Assignment Calendar

Profile: Law degree 1977, University of Arizona. Judge Advocate USAF 1977-1981 and private practice. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1988.

MEETS

Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 164 | 100% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 3 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 24 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 1 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 100% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 100% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

BARTON, JANET E.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Juvenile

Profile: Law degree 1985, University of Kentucky. Specialized in commercial litigation, and state and local tax cases. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 2000.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 17 |
| | Voted "NO" - 6 |
| | Not Voting - 6 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 119 | 72% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 50 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 59 | 88% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 11 | 97% |
| Communication Skills | | 78% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 67% | | N/A | | 84% |
| Administrative Performance | | 94% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 83% | | N/A | | N/A |

BUDOFF, ROBERT

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1971, University of Nebraska. Served 17 years as a Superior Court Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 2000.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 25 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 4 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 195 | 91% | Surveys Sent: 52 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 36 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 51 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 26 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 6 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 94% | | 99% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

BURKE, EDWARD O.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Civil Department Presiding Judge and Civil Calendar

Profile: Law degree 1966, Syracuse University. Member of private law firms and served as a Judge Pro Tempore. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 238 | 99% | Surveys Sent: 66 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 84 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 88 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 24 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 11 | 91% |
| Communication Skills | | 96% | | 100% | | 91% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 95% | | 100% | | 90% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 100% | | 96% |
| Settlement Activities | | 98% | | N/A | | N/A |

*NOTE: The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

CATES, JEFFREY S.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1968, Boston University. Specialized in civil litigation. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1979.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 173 | 97% | Surveys Sent: 36 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 40 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 48 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 13 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 6 | 95% |
| Communication Skills | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 98% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

DAIRMAN, DENNIS W.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Juvenile

Profile: Law degree 1968, University of Arizona. Served 17 years with Public Defender's Office. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1992.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 23
Voted "NO" - 2
Not Voting - 4

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 73 | 83% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 60 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 42 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 12 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 72% | | N/A | | 92% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 93% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 93% | | N/A | | 96% |
| Settlement Activities | | 90% | | N/A | | N/A |

DAVIS, NORMAN J.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1975, Arizona State University. Prior to appointment to bench established his own general practice. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1995.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 188 | 95% | Surveys Sent: 103 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 73 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 43 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 35 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 18 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 96% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 100% | | 98% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

DONAHOE, GARY E.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Civil

Profile: Law degree 1979, University of Arizona. Served 11 years as Superior Court Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 2000.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 204 | 94% | Surveys Sent: 82 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 106 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 73 | 96% | Surveys Returned: 49 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 23 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 96% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | 100% | | 99% |
| Settlement Activities | | 89% | | N/A | | N/A |

DOWNIE, MARGARET H.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Family & Civil

Profile: Law degree 1984, Georgetown University. Civil Litigation. Served as Superior Court Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 260 | 94% | Surveys Sent: 27 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 249 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 94 | 94% | Surveys Returned: 9 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 39 | 93% |
| Communication Skills | | 91% | | 100% | | 95% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 94% | | 100% | | 90% |
| Administrative Performance | | 98% | | 100% | | 93% |
| Settlement Activities | | 85% | | N/A | | N/A |

FENZEL, ALFRED M.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1974, Catholic University of America. Deputy County Attorney and Superior Court Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 160 | 99% | Surveys Sent: 92 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 54 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 60 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 53 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 14 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 98% | | N/A | | N/A |

*NOTE: The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

GAINES, PENDLETON

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Civil

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1969, University of Virginia. Specialized in commercial, railroad, and products liability litigation. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1999.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 324 | 98% | Surveys Sent: 35 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 24 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 130 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 21 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 5 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 96% | | 99% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 98% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 98% | | N/A | | N/A |

GAMA, J. RICHARD

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Family

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1972, Arizona State University. Practiced in personal injury, medical negligence, wrongful death litigation. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 2000.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 131 | 95% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 203 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 60 | 95% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 33 | 89% |
| Communication Skills | | 94% | | N/A | | 85% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | N/A | | 86% |
| Administrative Performance | | 87% | | N/A | | 86% |
| Settlement Activities | | 87% | | N/A | | N/A |

GAYLORD, JOHN M.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

MEETS Voted "YES" - 25
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 4

Profile: Law degree 1981, Arizona State University. Assistant Attorney General and Marine Corps Attorney. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 295 | 91% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 2 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 78 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 2 | 94% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 97% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

GERST, STEPHEN A.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1966, University of Arizona. Maricopa County Attorney's Office and private practice for 15 years. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1984.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | <i>Surveys Sent: 253</i> 92% | <i>Surveys Sent: 10</i> N/A | <i>Surveys Sent: 7</i> N/A |
| Integrity | <i>Surveys Returned: 77</i> 94% | <i>Surveys Returned: 8</i> 100% | <i>Surveys Returned: 1</i> 100% |
| Communication Skills | 97% | 100% | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 96% | 100% | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | 93% | 100% | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | 91% | N/A | N/A |

GOTTSFIELD, ROBERT L.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1960, Cornell University. Private practice 1963-1980. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1980.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | <i>Surveys Sent: 210</i> 89% | <i>Surveys Sent: 150</i> N/A | <i>Surveys Sent: 53</i> N/A |
| Integrity | <i>Surveys Returned: 68</i> 95% | <i>Surveys Returned: 47</i> 100% | <i>Surveys Returned: 10</i> 100% |
| Communication Skills | 86% | 100% | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 91% | 100% | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | 95% | 98% | 94% |
| Settlement Activities | 96% | N/A | N/A |

GRANVILLE, WARREN J.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Family & Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1979, Arizona State University. Assistant Attorney General 20 years. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | <i>Surveys Sent: 139</i> 93% | <i>Surveys Sent: 0</i> N/A | <i>Surveys Sent: 489</i> N/A |
| Integrity | <i>Surveys Returned: 62</i> 95% | <i>Surveys Returned: 0</i> N/A | <i>Surveys Returned: 29</i> 98% |
| Communication Skills | 92% | N/A | 96% |
| Judicial Temperament | 94% | N/A | 97% |
| Administrative Performance | 94% | N/A | 95% |
| Settlement Activities | 91% | N/A | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

HAUSER, BRIAN R.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Juvenile

Profile: Law degree 1977, Temple University. Worked with Maricopa County Attorney and Attorney General's Offices. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1991.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 128 | 98% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 71 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 63 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 23 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 100% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 93% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 94% | | N/A | | 98% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

HEILMAN, JOSEPH B.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1977, Arizona State University. Civil litigation practice; Deputy County Attorney. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1999.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 200 | 99% | Surveys Sent: 55 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 39 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 50 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 30 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 10 | 95% |
| Communication Skills | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 98% | | 100% | | 97% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 100% | | 96% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

HICKS, BETHANY G.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period--Presiding Judge Family Court Department.

Profile: Law degree 1984, Arizona State University. Private practice in Scottsdale, Arizona. Served as Superior Court Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court in 1999..

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 90 | 94% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 156 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 38 | 92% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 26 | 92% |
| Communication Skills | | 92% | | N/A | | 88% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 90% | | N/A | | 90% |
| Administrative Performance | | 96% | | N/A | | 88% |
| Settlement Activities | | 84% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

HOAG, M. JEAN

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Southeast Presiding Judge & Special Assignment Calendar

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

Profile: Law degree 1982, William Mitchell College of Law. Felony Prosecutor Maricopa County; Assistant State Attorney General assigned to Organized Crime Unit. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1996.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 127 | 85% | Surveys Sent: 54 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 120 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 37 | 95% | Surveys Returned: 45 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 23 | 94% |
| Communication Skills | | 89% | | 100% | | 91% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 85% | | 100% | | 91% |
| Administrative Performance | | 94% | | 100% | | 95% |
| Settlement Activities | | 81% | | N/A | | N/A |

HOLT, CATHY M.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Civil

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

Profile: Law degree 1985, University of Arizona. Practiced in commercial litigation, creditors' rights and bankruptcy. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 214 | 89% | Surveys Sent: 53 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 68 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 84 | 97% | Surveys Returned: 0 | 0% | Surveys Returned: 43 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 91% | | 0% | | 95% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | 0% | | 98% |
| Administrative Performance | | 95% | | 0% | | 93% |
| Settlement Activities | | 81% | | N/A | | N/A |

HOTHAM, JEFFREY A.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 24 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 5 |

Profile: Law degree 1975, Arizona State University. Assistant Bureau Chief for Major Felony Bureau. Prosecuted over 40 murder cases, including 6 death penalty trials. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1987.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 228 | 90% | Surveys Sent: 102 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 66 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 68 | 86% | Surveys Returned: 42 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 11 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 90% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 83% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | 96% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 85% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

HYATT, CAREY S.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

Profile: Law degree 1985, St. John's University. Superior Court Commissioner 5 years; staff attorney Court of Appeals and Deputy County Attorney. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 2000.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 24
Voted "NO" - 2
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 148 | 90% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 193 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 63 | 92% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 21 | 78% |
| Communication Skills | | 87% | | N/A | | 89% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 82% | | N/A | | 74% |
| Administrative Performance | | 92% | | N/A | | 87% |
| Settlement Activities | | 84% | | N/A | | N/A |

ISHIKAWA, BRIAN K.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

Profile: Law degree Arizona State University. Practiced as a civil litigator and criminal prosecutor specializing in crimes against children. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1995.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 139 | 93% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 88 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 58 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 12 | 99% |
| Communication Skills | | 93% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | N/A | | 95% |
| Administrative Performance | | 90% | | N/A | | 96% |
| Settlement Activities | | 96% | | N/A | | N/A |

JONES, MICHAEL D.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Special Assignment

Profile: Law degree Arizona State University. Superior Court Commissioner, Tucson Asst. City Prosecutor, Asst. State Attorney General. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1995.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 78 | 87% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 38 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 36 | 92% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 4 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 86% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 94% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 95% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 95% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

KAMIN, ALAN S.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Juvenile

Profile: Law degree Stanford University. Private practice 1968-1975. Assistant Attorney General 1975-1983. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1983.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 19 |
| | Voted "NO" - 4 |
| | Not Voting - 6 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 128 | 82% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 29 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 53 | 88% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 29 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 74% | | N/A | | 94% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 68% | | N/A | | 97% |
| Administrative Performance | | 67% | | N/A | | 91% |
| Settlement Activities | | 71% | | N/A | | N/A |

KEPPEL, JAMES H.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree University of Arizona. Bureau Chief of Maricopa County Attorney's Office. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1996.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 191 | 100% | Surveys Sent: 52 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 57 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 61 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 17 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 7 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 97% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 99% | | N/A | | N/A |

MANGUM, J. KENNETH

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Civil

Profile: Law degree 1972, University of Chicago. Navy Judge Advocate General's Corps. Practiced in personal injury and commercial law. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1991.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 139 | 96% | Surveys Sent: 18 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 26 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 53 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 8 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 15 | 98% |
| Communication Skills | | 94% | | 100% | | 93% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | 100% | | 99% |
| Administrative Performance | | 91% | | 100% | | 97% |
| Settlement Activities | | 94% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

MUNDELL, BARBARA R.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Presiding Judge
Probate/Mental Health Department, Civil Bench

Profile: Law degree Arizona State University. Practiced in worker's comp and Social Security law. Administrative Law Judge for Industrial Commission. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1991.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 91 | 89% | Surveys Sent: 9 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 51 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 45 | 94% | Surveys Returned: 8 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 26 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 85% | | 100% | | 97% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 93% | | 100% | | 98% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | 100% | | 96% |
| Settlement Activities | | 85% | | N/A | | N/A |

O'CONNOR, KAREN L.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

Profile: Law degree 1984, John Marshall Law School. Practiced in civil and municipal law. Chief of Family Violence Bureau, Maricopa County Attorney's Office. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 181 | 96% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 306 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 75 | 95% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 20 | 84% |
| Communication Skills | | 91% | | N/A | | 89% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 91% | | N/A | | 86% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | N/A | | 82% |
| Settlement Activities | | 93% | | N/A | | N/A |

O'TOOLE, THOMAS W.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Presiding Judge
Criminal Department, Criminal Bench

Profile: Law degree 1966, University of Arizona. Federal Public Defender for Dist. of Arizona 1976-84. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1984.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 230 | 96% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 55 | 93% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A |
| Communication Skills | | 95% | | N/A | | N/A |
| Judicial Temperament | | 89% | | N/A | | N/A |
| Administrative Performance | | 93% | | N/A | | N/A |
| Settlement Activities | | 87% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

PADISH, JAMES E.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1981, John Marshall Law School. Maricopa County Public Defender. Executive Director, Phoenix Public Defender Program. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | <i>Surveys Sent: 200</i> | 94% | <i>Surveys Sent: 41</i> | N/A | <i>Surveys Sent: 88</i> | N/A |
| Integrity | <i>Surveys Returned: 58</i> | 92% | <i>Surveys Returned: 11</i> | 99% | <i>Surveys Returned: 35</i> | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 92% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 88% | | 100% | | 98% |
| Administrative Performance | | 98% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 95% | | N/A | | N/A |

PORTLEY, MAURICE

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

Profile: Law degree University of Michigan. Army Judge Advocate's Corps 1979-1984; private practice. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1991.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | <i>Surveys Sent: 182</i> | 95% | <i>Surveys Sent: 0</i> | N/A | <i>Surveys Sent: 149</i> | N/A |
| Integrity | <i>Surveys Returned: 59</i> | 97% | <i>Surveys Returned: 0</i> | N/A | <i>Surveys Returned: 20</i> | 96% |
| Communication Skills | | 94% | | N/A | | 95% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 95% | | N/A | | 91% |
| Administrative Performance | | 95% | | N/A | | 99% |
| Settlement Activities | | 91% | | N/A | | N/A |

RAYES, DOUGLAS L.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

Profile: Law degree 1978, Arizona State University. Army Judge Advocate's Corps 1979-83. Private practice in civil litigation. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 2000.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> | | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | <i>Surveys Sent: 231</i> | 99% | <i>Surveys Sent: 0</i> | N/A | <i>Surveys Sent: 316</i> | N/A |
| Integrity | <i>Surveys Returned: 87</i> | 98% | <i>Surveys Returned: 0</i> | N/A | <i>Surveys Returned: 27</i> | 91% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | N/A | | 88% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | N/A | | 81% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | N/A | | 88% |
| Settlement Activities | | 97% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

REINSTEIN, PETER C.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1974, Indiana University. Practiced in commercial litigation. Court Commissioner 1985-88. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 2000.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 25
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 4

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 302 | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 0 | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 12 |
| | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 78 | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 0 | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 3 |
| | <u>*Score</u> | <u>*Score</u> | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | 95% | N/A | N/A |
| Integrity | 95% | N/A | 100% |
| Communication Skills | 96% | N/A | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 87% | N/A | 75% |
| Administrative Performance | 97% | N/A | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | 96% | N/A | N/A |

RONAN, EMMET J.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Juvenile

Profile: Law degree 1974, Arizona State University. Represented defendants in complex criminal and death penalty litigation during 16 years with Maricopa Public Defender. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 81 | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 0 | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 31 |
| | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 49 | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 0 | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 6 |
| | <u>*Score</u> | <u>*Score</u> | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | 98% | N/A | N/A |
| Integrity | 98% | N/A | 100% |
| Communication Skills | 96% | N/A | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 98% | N/A | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | 91% | N/A | 92% |
| Settlement Activities | 98% | N/A | N/A |

SCHWARTZ, JONATHAN H.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1973, University of Michigan. As an Assistant Attorney General, prosecuted land fraud and organized crime cases. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1991.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 347 | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 9 | <i>Surveys Sent:</i> 21 |
| | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 109 | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 4 | <i>Surveys Returned:</i> 9 |
| | <u>*Score</u> | <u>*Score</u> | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | 95% | N/A | N/A |
| Integrity | 94% | 100% | 100% |
| Communication Skills | 92% | 100% | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 93% | 100% | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | 95% | 100% | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | 97% | N/A | N/A |

*NOTE: The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

SCOTT, LINDA K.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Presiding Judge
Juvenile Court, Juvenile Bench

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

Profile: Law degree 1974, Arizona State University. Practiced in personal injury litigation and juvenile law. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1984.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 73 | 100% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 10 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 45 | 97% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 3 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 100% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 98% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 98% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

TALAMANTE, DAVID M.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

Profile: Law degree 1978, University of Arizona. Practiced in real estate and construction. Chief Counsel for AG's Transportation Section; Assistant Real Estate Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1998.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 159 | 95% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 262 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 56 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 43 | 98% |
| Communication Skills | | 94% | | N/A | | 98% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 95% | | N/A | | 95% |
| Administrative Performance | | 98% | | N/A | | 93% |
| Settlement Activities | | 95% | | N/A | | N/A |

TOPF, WILLIAM L., III

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Civil

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

Profile: Law degree 1974, Arizona State University. Director of ASU Criminal Defense Internship, Superior Court Commissioner and Maricopa County Public Fiduciary. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1991.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 182 | 97% | Surveys Sent: 27 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 141 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 51 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 15 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 25 | 93% |
| Communication Skills | | 99% | | 100% | | 96% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 98% | | 100% | | 95% |
| Administrative Performance | | 97% | | 100% | | 91% |
| Settlement Activities | | 95% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

VERDIN, MARIA DEL MAR

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Family

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1989, University of Arizona. Superior Court Commissioner, Asst. Attorney General, and Maricopa Public Defender representing juveniles. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 169 | 96% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 140 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 62 | 97% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 9 | 86% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | N/A | | 88% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 95% | | N/A | | 77% |
| Administrative Performance | | 96% | | N/A | | 96% |
| Settlement Activities | | 90% | | N/A | | N/A |

WILKINSON, MICHAEL O.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Special Assignment

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1972, Wayne State University. Deputy County Attorney 12 years prosecuting felonies and private practice. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1987.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 186 | 100% | Surveys Sent: 65 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 44 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 60 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 36 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 10 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 97% | | N/A | | N/A |

WILLETT, EILEEN S.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

MEETS Voted "YES" - 25
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 4

Profile: Law degree 1984, Rutgers. Administrative Law Judge Industrial Commission and Superior Court Commissioner. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 138 | 91% | Surveys Sent: 68 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 52 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 42 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 40 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 16 | 92% |
| Communication Skills | | 96% | | 100% | | 94% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 97% | | 93% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

WILLRICH, PENNY L.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1982, Antioch-District of Columbia School of Law. Superior Court Commissioner. Asst. Dir. Children & Family Services. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 17 |
| | Voted "NO" - 6 |
| | Not Voting - 6 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Surveys Sent: 134</i> | <i>Surveys Sent: 55</i> | <i>Surveys Sent: 35</i> |
| | <i>Surveys Returned: 41</i> | <i>Surveys Returned: 22</i> | <i>Surveys Returned: 1</i> |
| | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | 77% | N/A | N/A |
| Integrity | 96% | 100% | 89% |
| Communication Skills | 82% | 99% | 0% |
| Judicial Temperament | 80% | 100% | 75% |
| Administrative Performance | 83% | 98% | 33% |
| Settlement Activities | 77% | N/A | N/A |

YARNELL, MICHAEL A.

Bench Assignment Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree University of Illinois. Practiced in commercial litigation. Appointed to Maricopa County Superior Court 1991.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 24 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 5 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Surveys Sent: 183</i> | <i>Surveys Sent: 58</i> | <i>Surveys Sent: 69</i> |
| | <i>Surveys Returned: 52</i> | <i>Surveys Returned: 33</i> | <i>Surveys Returned: 12</i> |
| | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | 91% | N/A | N/A |
| Integrity | 95% | 100% | 100% |
| Communication Skills | 94% | 100% | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 80% | 100% | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | 100% | 95% | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | 89% | N/A | N/A |

SUPERIOR COURT IN PIMA COUNTY - PIMA COUNTY VOTERS ONLY:

ALFRED, MICHAEL D.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1974, University of Arizona. Practiced in private and public practices for 11 years; full time Judge Pro Tem 1985-92. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1992.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | <u>Juror Responses</u> | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | <i>Surveys Sent: 159</i> | <i>Surveys Sent: 77</i> | <i>Surveys Sent: 45</i> |
| | <i>Surveys Returned: 62</i> | <i>Surveys Returned: 28</i> | <i>Surveys Returned: 7</i> |
| | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> | <i>*Score</i> |
| Legal Ability | 93% | N/A | N/A |
| Integrity | 98% | 100% | 100% |
| Communication Skills | 91% | 100% | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | 89% | 100% | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | 92% | 100% | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | 94% | N/A | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

BOREK, TED B.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period–Civil

Profile: Law degree 1972, University of Arizona. Army Judge Advocate General's Corps 1973-90. U.S. Attorney's Office 1990-2000. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 2000.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 201 | 94% | Surveys Sent: 38 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 59 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 110 | 99% | Surveys Returned: 23 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 16 | 99% |
| Communication Skills | | 96% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 98% | | N/A | | N/A |

BROWNING, CHRISTOPHER C.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period–Presiding Judge Civil Bench

Profile: Law degree 1981, University of Arizona. Private practice in civil litigation. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1998.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 177 | 97% | Surveys Sent: 47 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 41 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 110 | 97% | Surveys Returned: 22 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 14 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 95% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 98% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 96% | | 100% | | 98% |
| Settlement Activities | | 97% | | N/A | | N/A |

CAMPOY, HECTOR E.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period–Juvenile

Profile: Law degree 1980, University of Michigan. Private practice in criminal, civil and domestic law; full time Pima County Commissioner 1991-2000. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 2000.

MEETS Voted "YES" - 26
Voted "NO" - 0
Not Voting - 3

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 103 | 100% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 229 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 40 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 84 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 100% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 100% | | N/A | | 99% |
| Administrative Performance | | 100% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

CRUICKSHANK, MICHAEL

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Juvenile

Profile: Law degree 1984, University of Arizona. Private practice, Deputy Pima County Attorney, Pima Legal Defender's Office, full time Commissioner. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1998.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 103 | 97% | Surveys Sent: 0 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 165 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 37 | 98% | Surveys Returned: 0 | N/A | Surveys Returned: 57 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 96% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 99% | | N/A | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 88% | | N/A | | N/A |

DAVIS, JOHN E.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Criminal

Profile: Law degree 1975, University of Arizona. Private practice, Deputy Pima County Attorney, Attorney General's Office, US Attorney's Office. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1996.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 25 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 4 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 163 | 90% | Surveys Sent: 155 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 117 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 62 | 91% | Surveys Returned: 71 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 24 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 86% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 85% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 92% | | 100% | | 98% |
| Settlement Activities | | 83% | | N/A | | N/A |

HARRINGTON, CHARLES V.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period—Civil

Profile: Law degree 1984, Gonzaga University. Private practice civil litigation. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1999.

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| MEETS | Voted "YES" - 26 |
| | Voted "NO" - 0 |
| | Not Voting - 3 |

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 152 | 96% | Surveys Sent: 34 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 77 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 118 | 97% | Surveys Returned: 16 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 24 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 93% | | 100% | | 95% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 92% | | 100% | | 98% |
| Administrative Performance | | 96% | | 100% | | 97% |
| Settlement Activities | | 84% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge "satisfactory" or "very good" or "superior" in each of the Commission's evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge "MEETS" or "DOES NOT MEET" Judicial Performance Standards.

Judicial Performance Review

2002 Ballot Propositions

KELLY, JOHN F.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period–Civil

MEETS Voted “YES” - 26
Voted “NO” - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1974, University of Arizona. Private practice; Assistant Attorney General 1978-88. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1988.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 146 | 88% | Surveys Sent: 32 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 61 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 97 | 96% | Surveys Returned: 10 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 17 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 89% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 97% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 95% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 86% | | N/A | | N/A |

NICHOLS, RICHARD D.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period–Criminal

MEETS Voted “YES” - 26
Voted “NO” - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1977, University of Arizona. Pima County Attorney’s Office 1970-1990; full time Judge Pro Tempore 1990-1995. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1995.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 144 | 92% | Surveys Sent: 153 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 108 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 64 | 92% | Surveys Returned: 63 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 19 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 86% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 91% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 92% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 85% | | N/A | | N/A |

RODRIGUEZ, LINA S.

Bench Assignment During Survey Period–Arbitration

MEETS Voted “YES” - 26
Voted “NO” - 0
Not Voting - 3

Profile: Law degree 1977, University of Arizona. Private practice 1977-1984. Appointed to Pima County Superior Court 1995.

| <u>Evaluation Category</u> | <u>Attorney Responses</u> | | <u>Juror Responses</u> | | <u>Litigant/Witness/Pro Per Responses</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> | | <u>*Score</u> |
| Legal Ability | Surveys Sent: 201 | 97% | Surveys Sent: 39 | N/A | Surveys Sent: 62 | N/A |
| Integrity | Surveys Returned: 137 | 97% | Surveys Returned: 26 | 100% | Surveys Returned: 15 | 100% |
| Communication Skills | | 97% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Judicial Temperament | | 97% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Administrative Performance | | 98% | | 100% | | 100% |
| Settlement Activities | | 96% | | N/A | | N/A |

***NOTE:** The score is the total of evaluators who rated the judge “satisfactory” or “very good” or “superior” in each of the Commission’s evaluation categories. Depending on bench assignment, a judge may not have responses in certain categories (indicated by N/A). The JPR Commission votes on whether a judge “MEETS” or “DOES NOT MEET” Judicial Performance Standards.