

NOTICES OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING Initiated Before January 1, 1995

Unless exempted by A.R.S. § 41-1055, each agency shall begin the rulemaking process by first filing a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking with the Governor's Regulatory Review Council as specified by A.R.S. § 41-1052. The agency shall also submit the text of the rules being proposed, an estimate of the economic impact, and a cost/benefit analysis of the proposed action. Following the Council's review and approval of the rule, the Council shall forward the rule to the Office of the Secretary of State for filing and publication in the *Arizona Administrative Register*.

Under the Administrative Procedure Act (A.R.S. § 41-1001 *et seq.*), an agency must allow at least 30 days to elapse after the publication of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the *Register* before beginning any proceedings for adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule. A.R.S. §§ 41-1013 and 41-1022 and A.A.C. R1-2-202.

TITLE 3. AGRICULTURE

CH. 2. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ANIMAL SERVICES DIVISION

The undersigned hereby gives notice that pursuant to the statutory authority of A.R.S. §§ 3-107, 3-710, 3-2046, 3-2088, 3-2161 (General), 3-705 through 3-708, 3-712, 3-739, 3-2002, 3-2003, 3-2005, 3-2011, 3-2044, 3-2045, 3-2046, 3-2050, 3-2051, 3-2081, 3-2088, 3-2089, 3-2094, 3-2096, 3-2154, 3-2158, 3-2692, and 3-2695, the following actions are proposed:

Repeal

- R3-2-201. Meat inspection and slaughtering standards
- R3-2-901. The definitions of terms descriptive of the shell, air cell, white, yolk and germ
- R3-2-902. The standards of quality of chicken eggs in the shell as determined by candling
- R3-2-903. Grades and tolerances
- R3-2-904. Additional tolerances in lots of two or more cases
- R3-2-905. Standard of size: determination by weight: classes
- R3-2-909. Nonconforming eggs or egg products

Adopt

- R3-2-201. Definitions
- R3-2-901. Definitions
- R3-2-902. Standards, Grades, and Weight Classes for Shell Eggs
- R3-2-906. Violations and Penalties

Amend

- R3-2-202. Meat and Poultry Inspection and Slaughtering Standards
- R3-2-203. Diseased and Injured Animals; uninspected establishment restrictions
- R3-2-204. Slaughter-house minimum Requirements
- R3-2-205. Program Requirements for Designation of Rendering Plants to Produce Certified Animal Fat
- R3-2-206. Purchase, Sale, Collection, Transportation, Disposition and Use of Meat or Meat Food Products; Dead Animals; Animal Bone, Animal Fat, Animal Offals

- R-3-2-207. Meat From Dead livestock Animals Processed and Decharacterized for Use as Animal Food
- R3-2-208. Licenses, Registration; Records
- R3-2-907. Quarterly Report Periods
- R3-2-908. Inspection Fee Rate

Renumber

- R3-2-906 to R3-2-903. Sampling; Schedule and Methods for Evidence
- R3-2-907 to R3-2-904. Quarterly Report Periods
- R3-2-908 to R3-2-905. Inspection Fee Rate

Summary

The Department of Agriculture proposes to amend A.A.C. R3-2-201 through R3-2-208 to remove definitions which are not used within the rules or are duplications of those described in statute or federal code; to update the incorporations by reference; and to rewrite the rules so that their content is clear, concise and void of unnecessary words; and to repeal A.A.C. R3-2-901 through R3-2-905 and R3-2-909; to amend R3-2-906 through R3-2-908; to renumber R3-2-906 to R3-2-903, R3-2-907 to R3-2-904, and R3-908 to R3-2-905; and to adopt R3-2-901, R3-2-902 and R3-2-906 to govern egg and egg products control in Arizona. The egg rules will incorporate by reference standards, grades and weight classes for shell eggs; delete material which through that incorporation is duplicative; clarify existing language for a better understanding of its intent; and adopt criteria for determination of civil penalties and set up a civil penalty scale pursuant to A.R.S. § 3-739.

Governor's Regulatory Review Council

The proposed rules with the economic impact, cost/benefit analysis, and impact on small businesses were heard by the Governor's Regulatory Review Council on February 7, 1995.

Opportunity for Public Comment

Notice is given that any person may file written comments on the proposed rulemaking with the agency contact person on or before April 12, 1995.

Contact: Shirley Conard, Rules Specialist, Department of Agriculture, 1601 North 7th Street, Room 434, Phoenix, Arizona 85006, (602) 407-2834.

The Department has scheduled oral proceedings to be held at the Department of Agriculture, 1601 North 7th Street, Room 445, Phoenix, Arizona, at the hour of 1:30 p.m. on the 11th day of April, 1995.

Arizona Administrative Register

Notices of Proposed Rulemaking

Dated: December 12, 1994 /s/Keith Kelly
Director
Filed in the Office of the
Secretary of State 2/16/95

Governor's Regulatory Review Council

The proposed rules with the economic impact, cost/benefit
analysis, and impact on small businesses were heard by the
Governor's Regulatory Review Council on February 7, 1995.

Opportunity for Public Comment

Notice is given that any person may file written comments on
the proposed rulemaking with the agency contact person on
or before April 11, 1995.

Contact: Shirley Conard, Rules Specialist, Department of
Agriculture, 1601 North Seventh Street, Room 434,
Phoenix, Arizona 85006.

The Department has scheduled an oral proceeding to be held
at the Department of Agriculture, 1601 North Seventh Street,
Room 445, Phoenix, Arizona, at the hour of 1:30 p.m. on the
10th day of April, 1995.

Dated: December 12, 1994 /s/Keith Kelly
Director
Filed in the Office of the
Secretary of State 2/16/95

TITLE 3. AGRICULTURE

CH. 4. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
PLANT SERVICES DIVISION

The undersigned hereby gives notice that pursuant to the
statutory authority of A.R.S. §§ 3-107, 3-232 (General),
3-201.01, 3-202, 3-203, 3-204, 3-205, 3-205.01, 3-206,
3-207, 3-208, 3-209, 3-210, 31-231, 3-233, 3-235, and 3-237,
the following actions are proposed:

Repeal

- R3-4-245. Noxious weeds
R3-4-401. Seed testing laboratory
R3-4-403. Contents of invoices, purchase
records and shipment records
R3-4-404. Experimental variety of cotton
planting seed

Adopt

- R3-4-244. Regulated and Restricted Noxious
Weeds
R3-4-245. Prohibited Noxious Weeds
R3-4-401. Definitions
R3-4-407. Phytosanitary Field Inspection; Fee
Licenses: Seed Dealer and Seed
Labeler; Fees

Amend

- R3-4-204. Pink Bollworm and the Cotton Boll
Weevil Complex of Cotton
R3-4-402. Labeling
R3-4-405. Noxious Weeds Seeds
R3-4-406. Vegetable Seed Germination
Standards
R3-4-407. Seed-certifying Agencies
R3-4-408. Sampling and Analyzing Seed

Renumber

- R3-4-405 to R3-4-403. Noxious Weeds Seeds
R3-4-406 to R3-4-404. Vegetable Seed
Germination Standards
R3-4-407 to R3-4-405. Seed-certifying Agencies
R3-4-408 to R3-4-406. Sampling and Analyzing
Seed

Summary

The Department of Agriculture proposes to amend A.A.C.
R3-4-204 by extending the February 15 tillage deadline an
extra 15 days for growers in Cochise County who pasture
their cotton fields; to repeal R3-4-245, and to adopt R3-4-244
and R3-4-245 to remove outdated terms, eliminate language
repeated from statute, separate the requirements of prohibited
and restricted noxious weeds into two separate rules, and to
add 15 prohibited noxious weeds and 6 restricted weeds to
the new rules; to repeal R3-4-401, R3-4-403, and R3-4-404;
amend R3-4-402 and R3-4-405 through R3-4-408; renumber
R3-4-405 to R3-4-403, R3-4-406 to R3-4-404, R3-4-407 to
R3-4-405 and R3-4-408 to R3-4-406; and adopt R3-4-401,
R3-4-407, and R3-4-408 to remove outdated terms, update
seed categories, develop a better understanding of the seed
law governing agricultural, ornamental and vegetable seed in
Arizona by improving the language used in the rules,
eliminate language repeated from statute, and establish new
rules for phytosanitary field inspections and seed dealer and
seed labeler licenses and fees.

TITLE 3. AGRICULTURE

CH. 11. VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINING
BOARD

The undersigned hereby gives notice that pursuant to the
statutory authority of A.R.S. §§ 32-2204(B), 32-2214,
32-2218, 32-2219, 32-2232, 32-2250, 32-2271, 32-2272,
32-2273, 32-2274, and 32-2281, the following actions are
proposed:

Repeal

- R3-11-602. Direction, supervision and control
R3-11-701. Requirements and standards

Adopt

- R3-11-203. Information Required for
Examination Qualification
R3-11-703. Standards for Veterinary Premises
R3-11-801. Motivation that Drugs May Be
Available at a Pharmacy
R3-11-802. Labeling Requirements

Amend

- R3-11-101. Board Meetings
R3-11-102. Definitions
R3-11-103. Renewal of Veterinary License
R3-11-105. Fees
R3-11-107. Registering with Board
R3-11-201. Application
R3-11-202. Time, Place, and Date of
Examinations
R3-11-301. Applications for Temporary Permits
R3-11-302. Termination of Employment
R3-11-305. "Good and Sufficient Reason" for
Failure to Take Examination
R3-11-403. Documentation of Attendance
R3-11-502. Standards of practice Discharge of
Patients
R3-11-604. Examinations
R3-11-605. Veterinary Technician Services
R3-11-607. Renewal of Veterinary Technician
Certificates
R3-11-702. Building and Grounds Standards
R3-11-704. Equipment Standards
R3-11-705. Housekeeping Standards
R3-11-707. Mobile Units

Arizona Administrative Register
Notices of Proposed Rulemaking

Renumber

R3-11-101 to R3-11-102. Board Meetings
R3-11-102 to R3-11-101. Definitions
R3-11-702 to R3-11-701. Building and Grounds
Standards
R3-11-704 to R3-11-703. Equipment Standards
R3-11-705 to R3-11-704. Housekeeping Standards
R3-11-706 to R3-11-705. Mobile Clinics
R3-11-707 to R3-11-706. Mobile Units

Room 400, Phoenix, Arizona, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. on the 10th day of April, 1995.

Dated: February 14, 1995 /s/ Louise Battaglia
Executive Director
Filed in the Office of the
Secretary of State 2/16/95

Summary

The Board is repealing R3-11-701 and amending, repealing, or adopting the following Sections for the reasons stated: R3-11-101 to eliminate majority vote for meeting dates and grammatical changes; R3-11-102 for grammatical corrections; R3-11-103 for grammatical changes; R3-11-105 clarifying fees for licensure and copying of documents; R3-11-107 for reporting a change in address from 10 days to 20 which is consistent with statutory requirements; R3-11-201 for grammatical changes; R3-11-202 for the time required to submit applications for licensure that is consistent with present monthly examination procedures; R3-11-301, R3-11-302, R3-11-305 and R3-11-403 for grammatical changes; R3-11-502 by deleting paragraph (1) which is repetitive with R3-11-704; R3-11-602 by moving this Section to the definitions Section, R3-11-102; R3-11-604 by adding language to reflect the amount of time the Board must give notice of examinations and the applications must be received by the Board prior to the examinations; R3-11-605 for grammatical changes; R3-11-607 to delete wording contained in statute; R3-11-702 to clarify compliance with health and safety regulations; R3-11-703 clarifying that veterinarians must notify the consumer whether trained personnel will attend animals housed overnight beyond the regular business hours; R3-11-704 for grammatical changes; R3-11-705 by moving paragraph (1) to the appropriate Section R3-11-702 which collates all requirements for health and safety into one appropriate Section; R3-11-707 for grammatical changes. Adoption of rules that have been allowed by exemption pursuant to Laws 1989, Ch. 223 since 1990 but not filed or printed in the *Administrative Code* include R3-11-203, R3-11-801 and R3-11-802.

Governor's Regulatory Review Council

The proposed rules with the economic impact, cost/benefit analysis, and impact on small businesses were heard by the Governor's Regulatory Review Council on February 7, 1995.

Opportunity for Public Comment

Notice is given that any person may file written comments on the proposed rulemaking with the agency contact person on or before April 10, 1995.

Contact: Louise Battaglia, Executive Director, Veterinary Medical Examining Board 1645 West Jefferson, Room 410, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

The Board has scheduled oral proceedings to be held at the Veterinary Medical Examining Board, 1645 West Jefferson,

**TITLE 4. COMMERCE, PROFESSIONS, AND
OCCUPATIONS**

CH. 1. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

The undersigned hereby gives notice that pursuant to the statutory authority of A.R.S. §§ 32-701, 32-703, 32-721, and 32-723, the following actions are proposed:

Adopt

R4-1-341.01 CPA Certificates; by Non-Arizona
Examinee

Amend

R4-1-118 Specified Forms

Summary

The Board proposes to adopt the above Section to provide the Board with the authority to establish requirements for applicants for certification who have passed the uniform CPA examination in another state and to authorize the Board to charge a fee for processing applications for certification from such persons. The Board will amend the above Section to eliminate a list of forms and to provide in its place a description of the information required from each applicant for examination, certification, and/or registration.

Governor's Regulatory Review Council

The proposed rules with the economic impact, cost/benefit analysis, and impact on small businesses were heard by the Governor's Regulatory Review Council on February 7, 1995.

Opportunity for Public Comment

Notice is given that any person may file written comments on the proposed rulemaking with the agency contact person on or before April 10, 1995.

Contact: Ruth R. Lee, Executive Director, Board of Accountancy, 3110 North 19th Avenue, Suite 140, Phoenix, Arizona 85015, (602) 255-3648.

The Board has scheduled oral proceedings to be held at the Board of Accountancy, 3110 North 19th Avenue, Suite 140, Phoenix, Arizona, at the hour of 3:00 p.m. on the 17th day of April, 1995.

Dated: February 14, 1995 /s/ Janice C. Washington
President

Filed in the Office of the
Secretary of State 2/16/95

**NOTICES OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING
Initiated After January 1, 1995**

Unless exempted by A.R.S. § 41-1005, each agency shall begin the rulemaking process by first filing a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, containing the preamble and the full text of the rules, with the Secretary of State's Office. The Secretary of State shall publish the notice along with the Preamble and the full text in the next available issue of the *Arizona Administrative Register*.

Under the Administrative Procedure Act (A.R.S. § 41-1001 *et seq.*), an agency must allow at least 30 days to elapse after the publication of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the *Register* before beginning any proceedings for adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule. A.R.S. §§ 41-1013 and 41-1022.

**TITLE 18. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
CHAPTER 12. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS**

PREAMBLE

- Sections Affected** **Rulemaking Action**
R18-12-607 Amend
- The specific authority for the rulemaking, including both the authorizing statute (general) and the statutes the rules are implementing (specific):**
Authorizing statute: A.R.S. § 49-104(B)(4)
Implementing statute: A.R.S. §§ 49-1051 through 49-1056
- The name and address of agency personnel with whom persons may communicate regarding the rule:**
Name: Martha L. Seaman, Manager, Rule Development Section
Address: 3033 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone Number: (602) 207-2222
Fax Number: (602) 207-2218
- An explanation of the rule, including the agency's reasons for initiating the rule:**

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality proposes to amend this rule, striking subsection (C) of the Section. This subsection currently requires that eligibility for preapproval for funds from the Underground Storage Tank Assurance Fund, which are used for corrective action, be need-based. It requires that the applicant indicate that preapproval is sought and that the Department determine eligibility for preapproval. This amendment would remove those requirements. The Department also proposes to amend the heading of this Section, striking the phrase "Priority of payment:", to reflect the amendment within the Section.

The state statutes governing underground storage tanks (USTs) require owners and operators of USTs to demonstrate that they are financially capable of remedying a release from a UST. The legislature also recognized the substantial burden placed upon owners and operators of USTs by this requirement. In response, the legislature mandated, in A.R.S. §§ 49-1051 and 49-1052, that a state assurance account be created to address the inability of some owners and operators, particularly small businesses, to obtain appropriate financial responsibility mechanisms. Article 6, A.A.C. R18-12-601 and R18-12-610, established the Fund to partially indemnify owners of regulated petroleum UST systems, their designees, or those taking voluntary action for certain corrective action expenditures made after September 15, 1989. Since that date, owners and operators have incurred several millions of dollars remedying releases from USTs.

The UST State Assurance Fund operates to a large extent on a reimbursement basis. Owners and operators with releases proceed with corrective actions as prescribed by the state statute and the federal regulations cited in the state statute. When all or part of a corrective action is completed, the owner or operator submits to the Department a claim describing what was done to remedy the release and how much expense was incurred. There are limits on how much can be reimbursed by the Fund, depending on the amount of deductible chosen by the owner or operator⁽¹⁾. As a precondition for reimbursing corrective action expenditures, the Department must determine that corrective action taken by the owner or operator was appropriate under the applicable law.

A.A.C. R18-12-607(C) establishes a mechanism for preapproval of claims on the Fund. The original intent of the preapproval process was to provide advance confirmation to the owners and operators experiencing difficulty securing the necessary cash or credit for remediation. Confirmation of the Fund's payout amount prior to beginning remediation facilitated the securing of contractor and consultant services for the cash-strapped applicant.

Under R18-8-607, in addition to demonstrating financial need, a preapproval applicant must submit a detailed estimate of the remediation to be performed, establish compliance with the UST regulatory program, and demonstrate that the Fund deductible has been met. This must be done prior to beginning corrective action. Such preapproval allows the Department to review a corrective action plan in advance, then advise the owner or operator as to the appropriateness of the plan. The owner or operator is thereby assured that the costs incurred under an approved corrective action plan will be reimbursed by the Fund.

Arizona Administrative Register
Notices of Proposed Rulemaking

The Department has administered the requirements of Article 6 since September 1992. Three years' experience in administering this R18-8-607(C) has shown the Department that the preapproval process has served Fund applicants and the Department well in two important ways. First, it prevents the unwelcome surprise to an innocent owner or operator who, after the fact, may learn that some or all of the costs of the remediation performed are not reimbursable by the Department. This point is vital because, if an owner or operator exceeds the statutory cap or if all funds are exhausted on only a partial remediation, subsequent cleanup must be conducted without benefit of further reimbursement. This presents a particular hardship for small business operations. Consequently, the corrective action may then be abandoned with the result that the state incurs the total cost of any further remediation. Such abandonment creates a delay in the cleanup of a site and increased overall cost to the public.

The second benefit of preapproval is that advance review of the remediation plan and work estimates help ensure that the funds are spent on quality work which is appropriate for accomplishing the remediation sought. This means that funds are spent on more effective and technically appropriate work.

Currently, only a limited number of applicants are afforded the advantage of preapproval. The Department intended that R18-12-607(C) be a part of criteria ranking claims and ensuring that owners or operators with the greatest financial need, particularly small businesses which would otherwise have a difficult time obtaining appropriate financial assurance mechanisms, receive priority in payment of claims on the fund. However, experience in administering the Fund since September 1992 has shown that it would be most advantageous to all applicants and the Fund to open up the option of preapproval to all applicants.

This amendment eliminates financial need as a condition to preapproval. By eliminating this restriction, the Department seeks to broaden the class of persons who are eligible for preapproval of funds. Such access to preapproval of funds would allow owners and operators to get input from the Department on the most effective strategies for achieving cleanup. Armed with this information, owners and operators would be more likely to accomplish remediation within Fund limits, and the overall quality of such remediation would improve. Ultimately, this means that a greater number of UST sites will be cleaned up in a more efficient and effective manner, and that public health and the environment will be better protected.

Currently, A.A.C. R18-12-607(C) does not allow the most efficient use of the Fund and could, in fact, result in depletion of the Fund, without maximizing appropriate corrective action reimbursement from the Fund. The proposed amendment would allow for preapproval of funds for all applicants who choose that option, thereby assuring, in advance, that the corrective action is appropriate for reimbursement and not wasteful of the Fund or the owner's or operator's funds. This change will ultimately mean that more UST sites will be cleaned up more quickly and more efficiently and the public health, safety and the environment will be better protected.

⁽¹⁾Limits on how much can be reimbursed by the fund are set forth in A.R.S. § 49-1054.

5. A showing of good cause why the rule is necessary to promote a statewide interest if the rule will diminish a previous grant of authority of a political subdivision of this state:

Not applicable.

6. The preliminary summary of the economic, small business, and consumer impact:

A. Identification of the rulemaking

This proposed amendment eliminates financial need as a condition to preapproval of claims on the Underground Storage Tank Assurance Fund. By eliminating this restriction, the Department seeks to broaden the class of persons who are eligible for preapproval of funds. Such access to preapproval of funds would allow owners and operators to get input from the Department on the most effective strategies for achieving cleanup of spills from the underground storage tanks. Armed with this information, owners and operators would be more likely to accomplish remediation within Fund limits, and the overall quality of such remediation would improve. Ultimately, this means that a greater number of UST sites will be cleaned up in a more efficient and effective manner, and that public health and the environment will be better protected.

B. Identification of those impacted by this rule

1. Businesses impacted:

Businesses impacted by this rule include owners or operators of USTs, local governments, consultants and contractors who perform corrective action activities for cleanup of UST releases. The expectation is that these businesses will benefit from an option for preapproval because it will allow more expedient and efficient cleanup of releases and greater predictability of payment coverage. It will also mean reduced expenditures for inappropriate and ineffective clean-up activities.

2. Governmental agencies impacted:

Local governments which own or operate USTs will benefit from an option for preapproval because it will allow more expedient and efficient clean up of releases and greater predictability of payment coverage. It will also mean reduced expenditures for inappropriate and ineffective clean up activities

3. Small businesses impacted:

Some of the owners and operators of USTs and some of the consultants and contractors impacted may be classified as small businesses; however, at this time, the number is unknown. Small businesses will benefit from the ability to get financial assurance for clean up which might otherwise be unobtainable.

4. Consumers and general public impacted:

This rule will have indirect impacts on consumers. Those who may be impacted are those who purchase gasoline and might benefit from competition between owners of USTs which are not forced to close because of the inability to obtain financial assurance. Consumers who might wish to purchase real estate affected by a UST might benefit from a faster and more thorough cleanup of a release. The general public will benefit from more efficient and effective use of tax dollars and reduced possibility of negative impacts of exposure from a UST release.

Notices of Proposed Rulemaking

5. Impact on the Department and the Fund:
The Department expects that the economic impact of this rule to the Department will be minimal. It will not affect the net amount of work which the Department must do or the net amount which will be disbursed by the Fund but affects when the work and assurance of funds of disbursement take place, which will be at the beginning, rather than at the end, of the process. The Department expects that increased front-end workload will be managed by reprioritizing the workload of existing staff and contractors. The Fund will benefit by more efficient use of funds and by not having funds used up for payments for inappropriate and insufficient cleanups.
- C. Overall economic impact of the rule:
The overall economic impact of the rule will be that the benefits will outweigh the costs. There is no increase in the burden of compliance for the regulated community as a result of this rule. The rule simply provides an option which shifts the timing of the review performed by the Department. A regulated entity is not required to take advantage of this option and will do so only if it is economically advantageous.
7. The name and address of agency personnel with whom persons may communicate regarding the accuracy of the economic, small business, and consumer impact statement:
Name: Martha L. Seaman
Address: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
3033 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone Number: (602) 207-2222
Fax Number: (602) 207-2218
8. The time, place, and nature of the proceedings for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of the rule or; if no proceeding is scheduled, where, when, and how persons may request an oral proceeding on the proposed rule:
Date: April 10, 1995
Time: 1:00 p.m.
Location: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
3033 North Central Avenue
Public Meeting Room
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Nature: Oral proceeding
The Department will accept written comments which are received or postmarked by 5:00 p.m. April 14, 1995.
9. Any other matters prescribed by statute that are applicable to the specific agency or to any specific rule or class of rules:
None
10. Incorporations by reference and their location in the rules:
None.
11. The full text of the rules follows:

TITLE 18. ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
CHAPTER 12. DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS
ARTICLE 6. UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK ASSURANCE FUND

Section

R18-12-607. Priority of Payment: Direct Pay and Preapproval of Funds

ARTICLE 6. UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK ASSURANCE FUND

R18-12-607. ~~Priority of Payment: Direct Pay and Preapproval of Funds~~

A. No change

B. No change

C. ~~Eligibility for preapproval of funds is need based. Applicants seeking preapproval shall so indicate on the initial application for partial coverage. The Department shall determine eligibility for preapproval of funds from financial information submitted with the initial application.~~